This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
ΑIJ	Anstralia	FI	Finland	ML	Mati
BB	Rarbados ·	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
HF	Burkina Fasso	GB	United Kingdom	NL.	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Himpary	'NO	Norway
rj	Benin	π	Italy	80	Romania
BR	Bezil	JP.	Japan	SD	Sudan
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SE	Sweden
Œ	Central African Republic		of Korea	SN	Scuegal
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	. SU	Soviet Union
CH	Switzerland	u	Liechtenstein	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lenka	TG	Togo
DE	Cormony Endom! Describing of	111	I		11 2 1 7 1

A METHOD FOR STIMULATING BIODEGRADATION OF HALOGENATED ALIPHATIC HYDROCARBONS

Field of the Invention

5

25

The present invention relates, in general, to an improved method for biodegradation of halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons and, in particular, to the in situ biodegradation of volatile chlorinated aliphatic compounds (VCA), e.g., trichloroethylene (TCE).

Background of the Invention

The halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbon trichloroethylene (TCE) is a volatile, chlorinated compound of increasing concern as a ground water contaminant. TCE is potentially carcinogenic and is resistant to biological or abiological decomposition in subsurface waters. Conventional water treatment processes have been found to be ineffective in removing TCE from ground water. Results obtained with air stripping and adsorption to granular activated charcoal or Ambersorb resin, are more effective. These methods are present state-of-the-art technologies for remediation of VCAs. Although effective, they suffer from the fact that they simply transfer the contamination to another medium; either to the atmosphere (air stripping) or to solid medium (adsorption) which must then be disposed of as hazardous waste. The present invention results in conversion of the toxic compounds to nontoxic products.

The TCE degradation potential of microbes found in ground water systems has been examined. Evidence of anaerobic biodegradation has been reported. Several studies suggest that TCE may be degraded under m thanogenic conditions. H wever, the products of degradation include equally harmful

metabolites, such as dichloroethylenes and vinyl chloride. Complete mineralization of TCE was obtained when nonsterile soils were exposed to natural gas in air, suggesting that methanotrophic microorganisms are capable of degrading TCE. A possible mechanism for the degradation of TCE by methanotrophs involves epoxidation of TCE by methane monooxygenase followed by nonbiological rearrangements that result in the formation of dichloroacetic acid, formate, and carbon monoxide, each of which would then be further degraded.

However, pure cultures of microorganisms that degrades TCE has been 10 reported in the literature, Nelson, M.J., et al., Applied Environ. Microbiol., 53:949-954 (1987) and Nelson, M.J., et al., Applied Environ. Microbiol., 54:604-606 (1988), and are the subject of copending U.S. Patent Appl. No. 44,213, the disclosures of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

These pure cultures are used in a biodegradation method that includes 15 incubating microorganisms capable of degrading halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons by an aromatic degradative pathway, together with halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons under conditions such that the aromatic degradative pathway is active. The method results in the conversion of the halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons into nontoxic products, including carbon dioxide and 20 inorganic chloride. The method is useful in decontaminating halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbon-polluted environments. Decontaminating systems can utilize such pure cultures in bioreactor-type systems. Alternatively, natural microbial communities or introduced microorganisms can be stimulated to degrade halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons by addition to the contaminated site of an 25 amount of an inducer sufficient to induce an aromatic degradative pathway by which the halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons are degraded. Unfortunately, the requirement that an inducer, e.g., methane gas or aromatic compounds, be added to activate the degradative pathway limits the bioremediation utility of these newly discovered pure cultures. Before applicants' discovery, only two types of 30 inducers were available for biodegradation methods. Aromatic compounds, e.g., phenol, are effective inducers but their in situ use is limited by the fact they are also undesirable environmental pollutants. An alterative approach utilizes methane gas as an in situ inducer. Although effective as an inducer for the degradation of certain contaminants, the gaseous nature of methane makes it more difficult to add in situ and, also, makes controlling the biodegradation process difficult.

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide a method for biodegradation of halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons wherein a nontoxic, nongaseous compound is utilized as an inducer to the bacterial populations or organisms. It is an additional object of the present invention to provide a method for in situ biodegradation of halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons wherein a nontoxic, nongaseous compound is utilized as an inducer.

Further objects and advantages of the present invention will be apparent from the discussion that follows.

10 Summary of the Invention

The present invention is based on the discovery of innocuous, nontoxic, nongaseous inducers that stimulate microorganisms to degrade halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons by inducing oxygenase-controlled pathways. Examples of such inducers include aromatic amino acids, such as tryptophan and C10-C20 linear alkanes. A significant advantage of an aromatic amino acid inducer is that they are natural, nontoxic substances, many of which are required nutrients for humans, and thus should be acceptable to regulatory agencies, such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, for in situ addition. These inducers are used in accordance with the present invention to stimulate the biodegradation of halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons.

Accordingly, the present invention in its broadest aspect is directed to a method of biodegrading halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons including incubating the halogenated hydrocarbons with microorganisms capable of degrading the halogenated hydrocarbons together with a nontoxic, nongaseous inducer that stimulates the biodegrading activity of the microorganisms. In a preferred embodiment, the incubating step occurs substantially in situ.

The halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbon can be a volatile chlorinated aliphatic (VCA) hydrocarbon, for example, a chlorinated ethylene. Applicants' method is particularly well suited for the biodegradation of trichloroethylene (TCE). The method typically employs microorganisms that are capable of degrading the halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbon by an aromatic degradative pathway.

Description of the Preferred Embodiments

Although the advantages of the inducers used in the present invention are more completely realized when the incubation occurs substantially in situ, the biodegrading method can be employed in a surface bioreactor. It is preferred for

. WO 90/06901 PCT/US89/05679

simplicity that the inducer and the target contaminant be concurrently incubated with the select d microorganisms. Alternatively, it may be advantageous for the method of the present invention to include the preliminary step of stimulating the selected microorganisms with the inducer. Such stimulated microorganisms may

5 then be added to the site of the contamination, with or without additional inducer.

Halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons subject to degradation include, but are not limited to, volatile chlorinated aliphatic (VCA) hydrocarbons. VCAs include chloroethanes and chloroethylenes, for example, trichloroethylene (TCE), 1,1dichloroethylene, cis-1,2-dichloroethylene, and chloroethylene (vinyl chloride).

There are two types of microorganisms used in the present invention. Exogenous microorganisms introduced to the site can be specifically selected, mutated, or genetically engineered to degrade particular halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons by an aromatic degradative pathway. Alternatively, indigenous microorganisms, e.g., the natural microbial flora at the site of contamination, can 15 be stimulated to degrade halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons by in situ addition of nontoxic, nongaseous inducers.

10

Microorganisms capable of degrading target halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons can be selected from mixed cultures by growing the culture in the presence of an inducer capable of stimulating biodegradation, under conditions 20 such that the culture is enriched for microorganisms capable of degrading the target hydrocarbon. Pure cultures of such microorganisms can then be isolated by subculturing the enriched population using techniques well known to one of skill in the art.

More specifically, microorganisms can be isolated as follows. Soil samples 25 are taken from the natural flora. A target halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbon, in the presence or absence of an inducer, is added to each sample. Although the present invention is directed to the use of nontoxic, nongaseous inducers, e.g., tryptophan, in biodegradation, microorganisms useful in the present invention may be isolated using other types of inducers, e.g., phenol, and tested for stimulation 30 by nontoxic inducers. Each sample is then analyzed for hydrocarbon degradation compared to sterile controls. For each sample showing significant target hydrocarbon degradation, aliquots of the sample are plated onto agar plates. Colonies of the microorganisms are grown and each is tested for its ability to degrade the target aliphatic hydrocarbons in the presence or absence of an 35 inducer.

In a preferred embodiment, a pure microorganism culture can be used. For

example, strain G4 (a strain f Pseudomonas cepacia deposited at the American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, on April 30, 1987, ATCC 53617) was isolated and used as follows. A water sample from a holding pond at an industrial waste treatment facility for the Naval Air Station (NAS) in Pensacola, Fla., 5 having a history of contamination with organochlorine compounds, was screened for possible TCE degradation. The sample was supplemented with concentrated stock solutions to yield a basal salts medium (R.Y Stainer, et. al., J. Gen. Microbiol., 43:159-271 (1966)), and 5-ml aliquots were dispensed into 30-ml screwcap culture tubes (18 by 150 mm). Tubes were sealed with Teflon-faced neoprene 10 rubber septa secured by hole caps to allow access by syringe; TCE (50 nmol) was added as an aqueous stock by syringe through the septum of each tube. Samples (20 μ l) of the headspace from each tube were analyzed periodically by gas chromatography for changes in TCE concentration. The injector, oven, and detector temperatures on the gas chromatograph were 100, 60, and 325°C, 15 respectively. The carrier gas was H2 (1 ml/min) and the makeup gas was 90% argon-10% methane (45 ml/min through the detector).

The water sample caused a substantial decrease in TCE concentration as compared to autoclaved controls. Subcultures of this sample metabolized TCE when filter-sterilized or autoclaved water from the original sampling site ("NAS 20 water") was used to make up the basal salts medium for the experiments. Something in the NAS water samples apparently acts as an inducer to stimulate the biodegradation of the TCE. NAS water was therefore used in the medium for all subsequent tests for TCE metabolism. Aliquots of the water sample were plated on glucose medium (10 mM glucose, 0.05% yeast extract in basal salts 25 medium) for isolation of colonies. Resulting isolates were grown in glucose medium to stationary phase, and 1 ml portions were added to 50 ml Wheaton serum vials containing NAS medium (10 ml of basal salts solution made up in NAS water and supplemented with 0.05% yeast extract). The vials were sealed with Teflon-faced neoprene serum stoppers and crimp caps, and TCE (50 nmol) was Changes in TCE 30 added as an aqueous stock by syringe through the septa. concentrations in the medium after equilibration with the headspace of the vials were determined by extracting 1.5 ml samples with an equal volume of n-pentane and injecting 1.5 μl of the extract into the gas chromatograph under the conditions described above. Alternatively, all TCE was extracted from the test bottles by 35 whole bottle extraction. This method utilized addition of pentane to the bottles by syringe in an amount equivalent to the total aqueous volume of the sample

20

25

(usually 10 mI), foll wed by thorough mixing. A 1.5 ml subsample of pentane phase was then removed by syringe and analyzed by GC. This method determines the total amount of TCE present in both aqueous and gas phase, and is reported as TCE in aqueous phase (μ g/ml). All subsequent experiments also followed this method for monitoring TCE metabolism. In this manner, a pure culture, designated strain G4, which degraded TCE was obtained.

Strain G4 is a nonmotile, gram-negative, rod-shaped bacterium which grows predominantly in pairs and short chains in logarithmic phase. The microbe was identified by the API® Rapid NFT® test as a strain of *Pseudomonas cepacia* (Table 1). Although strain G4 grows on a variety of substrates, including glucose, lactate, succinate, acetate, and ethanol, no growth is observed with methane (up to 50% of the culture headspace) or methanol.

Additional strains capable of degrading halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons by an aromatic degradative pathway can be isolated from the natural flora using techniques comparable to that used to isolate G4 except that, instead of NAS water, one of the below-described inducers can be added to the medium to stimulate biodegradation.

The nontoxic, nongaseous inducers of the present invention that stimulate the metabolic pathways by which halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons are biodegraded include substances capable of inducing oxygenases which exhibit broad enzyme specificity and are fortuitously capable of degrading volatile halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons. The aromatic amino acid, such as phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan, are examples of such inducers. Also, linear alkanes of at least C10, preferably C10-C20, are nontoxic, nongaseous substances capable of inducing oxygenases.

TABLE 1. Identification of Strain G4 by API® Rapid NFT® Test

		NO ₃								•		
		NO_2	N_2	TRP	GLU	ADH	URE	ESC	GEL	PNPC	GLU A	ARA
	24h	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
30	48h										+	+
		MNE	MAN	NAG	MAL	GNT	CAP	ADI	MLT	CIT	PAC	OXI
	24h										+	+
	48h	+	+ .	+	-	+	+	-	+	+/-	+	+
35		Gram: Morph Motili		Roc	ative i imotile			ldent	ificatio Pseud		s cepao	ia:

Other suitable organisms could be selected by screening environmental samples, in a manner previously described for G4, by enriching with organic compounds known to require monocygenases or dioxygenases for their degradation. Said enrichments could then be tested for the ability to degrade halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons in a manner similar to that described for screening for TCE degraders which resulted in the discovery of strain G4. Alternatively, pure cultures of organisms known to degrade other organic compounds using oxygenases may be tested for halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbon degradation.

The invention is illustrated by way of the following nonlimiting examples:

Experimental Conditions and Examples

Culture conditions. All media contained basal salts (MSB [J. Gen. Microbiol. 43:159-271 (1966)]), and cultures were grown at 30°C with shaking (200 rpm).

Cultures were maintained on 10 mM glucose or 10 mM succinate.

Induced cultures of strain G4 were grown from a 5% inoculum on 20 mM sodium lactate-plus inducer as indicated for 20 hours. One ml (ca. 10^9 cells) was used as inoculum for the experiments.

TCE degradation experiments. TCE degradation experiments were similar to those described by Nelson et al. (Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 52:383-384 (1986)) and used 50 ml serum bottles sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and crimp caps. Each bottle contained 10 ml of MSB, TCE, and inoculum as described below. Unless otherwise indicated, experiments were terminated after 24 hours of incubation at 26°C. TCE degradation was monitored by measuring TCE concentrations in the aqueous phase of the test bottles by pentane extraction and gas chromatography (see Nelson et al. (1986) cited above). TCE in solution does not equal total TCE in experiments because of its partitioning between the liquid and gas phases of the test bottles. However, dissolved TCE is proportional to total TCE added and thus serves as a reliable method of monitoring. No TCE remained in the gas phase when it was below detection limits in the aqueous phase.

Chloride analysis. Chloride was determined with a model 94-17B chloride-specific electrode and a model 90-02 reference electrode calibrated with KCl standards made up in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) (P7 buffer).

Alternatively, chloride ion concentration was determined spectrophotometrically (Chem. Soc. Japan, 29:860-864 (1956)). Experiments to

detect the production of chloride were performed like TCE degradation experiments and contained 200 nmol of TCE and 1 mM phenol. P7 buffer replaced MSB, and phenol-induced, resuspended cultures of strain G4 were used as the inoculum. Results were corrected for background chloride. Time course experiments were performed by setting up replicate bottles and sacrificing bottles at various times.

EXAMPLE 1

Induction of TCE degradation by

10

aromatic compounds

Two hundred nmol of TCE and 1 ml of a late-log-phase culture (ca. 3×10^9 CFU) of strain G4 grown on 20 mM lactate were used. Data are the means \pm standard deviations of triplicate experiments.

The data in Table 2 demonstrate that toluene, o-cresol, and m-cresol stimulated TCE degradation; m-xylene, sodium benzoate, and p-cresol did not stimulate TCE degradation. All of the above-listed compounds, with the exception of m-xylene, were growth substrates for strain G4.

TABLE 2

Compound added (1mM)	TCE remaining ⁸ (μΜ)
_	3.35 ± 0.26
Phenol	
Toluene	······································
<u>m</u> -Xylene	3.92 ± 0.08
Sodium benzoate	4.17 ± 0.29
<u>o</u> -Cresol	
<u>m</u> -Cresol	
p-Cresol	4.04 ± 0.45

a After 24 h of incubation. A typical control with a sterile inoculum contained
 3.5 µM TCE.
 b Minimum detectable level.

EXAMPLE 2

<u>Production of inorganic chloride</u> by TCE degrading microorganism

When TCE was degraded by strain G4, stoichiometric amounts of inorganic chloride were produced. In two separate sets of experiments (three replicates each), 541 ± 20 and 586 ± 34 nmol of chloride were produced from 200 nmol of TCE, equal to 2.7 and 2.9 chloride ions per TCE molecule, respectively. Time course studies indicated that chloride was produced at a linear rate of 3.6 nmol/min (r = 0.96), corresponding to the consumption of 1.2 nmol of TCE per min (assuming three chloride ions TCE molecule). Complete dechlorination occurred in 3 h; no further Cl-production was detectable after 5 h.

EXAMPLE 3

Transformation of other

15

chloro-aliphatics by strain G4

Strain G4 was tested for the ability to transform a variety of chloroethylenes based on the release of chloride from the compounds (Table 3). 1,1-Dichloroethylene, <u>cis</u>-1,2-dichloroethylene and vinyl chloride appeared to be transformed with the release of about one Cl per molecule.

20

TABLE 3. Test of Strain G4 for the Ability to Dechlorinate Chloroethylenes

25	Compound	Chloride Produced ^a (nmol)	Chloride Per Molecule	Percentage Theoretical
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	445 ± 93	1.5	74
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	344 ± 153	1.2	57
	Vinyl chloride	505 ± 43 ^b	0.8	84
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	67 ± 51	0.2	11
10	1,1-Dichloroethane	-127 ± 34	-0,4	-21
	1,2-Dichloroethane	9 ± 128	0.2	1
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	-16 ± 138	-0.1	-3
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	-153 ± 26	-0.8	-26
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	89 ± 26	0.6	15
5	Tetrachloroethylene	-46 ± 105	-0.3	-8

- Background subtracted. Data are the means and standard deviations from three replicates. The compounds were added to yield 600 nm l of chloride-equivalents.
- Determined spectrophotometrically (Chem. Soc. Japan, 29:860-864 (1956)).

10

15

20

25

EXAMPLE 4

Other microorganisms tested

for the ability to metabolize TCE

Several strains of bacteria capable of degrading various aromatic compounds were tested for the ability to metabolize TCE in the presence of their respective aromatic substrates (Table 4). Under the conditions tested, only two tolueneutilizers *P. putida* strain F1 (*Biochemistry*, 7:2653-2662 (1968)) and strain B5 (isolated using similar techniques) were capable of completely metabolizing TCE.

These two strains degraded toluene via 3-methylcatechol. Another toluene-utilizer, P. putida strain mt-2, did not metabolize TCE. This organism degrades toluene via oxidation of the methyl group to form benzoate and subsequent dioxygenation to form catechol. Two mutants of P. putida strain F1, defective in the toluene degradative pathway, were tested for the ability to metabolize TCE (Table 5). The mutant Pp106 lacking the first enzyme of the pathway, toluene-2,3-dioxygenase, did not show any substantial metabolism of TCE, although another mutant, Pp39D, lacking the next enzyme in the pathway, the dihydrodiol dehydrogenase, metabolized TCE as effectively as the parent strain.

TABLE 4

TCE Metabolism By Microorganisms That Degrade Aromatic Compounds²

Organism	Aromatic Substrate	TCE Remaining (nmol)
P. putida NCIB 9816	Naphthalene	0.81 ± 0.06
Beijerinkia sp.	Biphenyl	0.66 ± 0.12
P. putida strain mt-2	Toluene	0.75 ± 0.17
P. putida strain B5	Toluene	<0.02
P. putida strain F1	Toluene	<0.02
None	None	0.63 ± 0.02

a Cultures used for inoculum were grown overnight on 10 mM glucose medium and 1 m½ of each was used as inoculum. The indicated aromatic substrates were included in the TCE metabolism experim nts at 1 mM. At initiation of the experiments, 50 nmol TCE was added and samples were incubated for 24 hours.

TABLE 5

Metabolism of TCE by Mutants of P. putida F1 Unable to Degrade Toluene⁸

	Strain	Defective Enzyme	TCE remaining (nmol)
	Parent strain	None	<0.02
10	Pp 106	Toluene dioxygenase	2.98 ± 0.09
	Pp 39D	Dihydrodiol dehydrogenase	<0.02
	None	_	3.84 ± 0.13

^aToluene at 1 mM replaced phenol in these TCE metabolism experiments.

15

20

5

EXAMPLE 5

Induction of Biodegradation of TCE

by Strain G4 with Tryptophan

A preferred embodiment of the present invention is the utilization of microorganisms stimulated by addition of tryptophan to degrade volatile halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons. In particular, it was discovered that the addition of tryptophan to cultures of strain G4 stimulated the organism to degrade TCE (Table 6). Tryptophan was not as effective as phenol on a per molar basis, but was shown to effect up to an 84 percent removal of TCE in batch studies. Higher efficiencies may be obtained with higher concentrations of tryptophan or in continuous operation. It is anticipated that other naturally occurring microorganisms may also be stimulated to degrade TCE by exposure to tryptophan. In situ degradation may thus only require addition of tryptophan. Alternatively, strain G4 or similar isolates may be added to the site or used in a bioreactor in conjunction with tryptophan.

35

TABLE 6. Degradation f TCE by Strain G4 When Stimulated with Tryptophan

	Compound Added	TCE Remaining 1	Percent No Addition
r	None	1.08 ± 0.23	100.0
5	Phenol 1mM	0.01 ± 0.002	1.0
	Tryptophan 1mM	0.66 ±.0.12	60.8
	Tryptophan 2mM	0.39 ± 0.04	36.3
	Tryptophan 4mM	0.17 ± 0.05	15.4

 $^{^{10}}$ 1 Triplicate Experiments. Data are the mean \pm standard deviations.

EXAMPLE 6

Degradation of TCE at Low Temperature

By Strain G4 and Natural Microflora

Degradation in situ will occur at lower temperatures than is normally optimal for microbial biodegradative activity. Strain G4 degraded TCE at temperatures expected in ground water aquifers when stimulated with tryptophan (Table 7). Natural microflora from a fresh-water lake also degraded some TCE (15% of that added) at these temperatures when stimulated with tryptophan, although much lower quantities were degraded than by strain G4.

TABLE 7. Degradation of TCE by Strain G4 and Natural Microflora at 15°C

25	Culture	Inducer	TCE (µg/ml)	Percent Remaining 1
	Natural Microflora ²	Tryptophan	1.92 ± 0.09	86
	Strain G4	Tryptophan	0.072 ± 0.03	3
	None	None	2.19 ± 0.15	98

^{30 &}lt;sup>1</sup> After four days of incubation at 15°C. TCE determined by whole bottle extraction. TCE at initiation of experiment = $2.23 \pm 0.12 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ (100%).

Natural microflora used were from a water sample obtained from Lake Sammamish, Redmond, Washington. The organisms were cultured by the addition of MSB and 2mM phenol to 100 ml of lake water and incubation at 15°C for three days followed by subculturing 4ml of this enrichment for 5 days in 100 ml of MSB medium containing 2mM DL-tryptophan.

EXAMPLE 7

Degradation of TCE in a Simulated Aquif r

A simulated sand aquifer was constructed in the laboratory in a covered glass box to determine the effectiveness of stimulating strain G4 to degrade TCE in situ 5 and in the absence of aromatic inducers. The flow rate through the aquifer was maintained at a linear flow-rate of 90 cm per day resulting in complete turnover of the system every 12 hours. The volume flow-rate was 10 ml/min and the total liquid content of the unit was 8.1 liter. The water contained 1 to 3 ppm TCE contamination and was ammended with inorganic nutrients to support biological 10 growth. TCE was monitored in samples from wells taken 10 cm upgradient and 20 cm downgradient of the point of introduction (referred to as "active zone") of the organism and the inducer (tryptophan). At T=Zero 2 x 10¹⁰ CFU of strain G4 grown overnight in 200 ml of 4mM tryptophan/MSB, was introduced through the recharge wells. A 10 mM stock solution of DL-tryptophan (Central Soya, Inc.) was 15 started to the recharge wells at a rate of 2 ml/min. This should result in a final concentration of 1.7 mM tryptophan after dilution in the aquifer. Monitoring TCE concentrations over 4 days indicated that a significant drop in TCE concentration had occurred. TCE concentrations downgradient of the active zone were 71-96 percent lower than the TCE concentration upgradient (Table 8).

20

š

TABLE 8

TCE Concentrations in a Simulated Aquifer Before and After Passage Through the Active Zone

25		TCE Concentration (ppm)			
23	Sampling Time ¹	Up <u>Gradient</u>	Down Gradient	Percent Removal 2	
	Before Inoculation		1.8	2.2	-22
	At Inoculation	(+4 hours)	0.6	0.75	-20
	After Inoculation	(+48 hours)	2.2	0.68	71
30		(+60 hours)	3.2	0.14	96
30		(+72 hours)	2.1	0.24	89
		(+96 hours)	2.7	0.11	96

¹ Relative to time of introduction of strain G4.

² Corrected for dilution with inducer solution.

The foregoing invention has been described in some detail by way of examples for purposes of clarity and understanding. Various combinations in f rm and detail can be made without departing from the scope of the invention.

•

t

5

Claims:

The embodiments of the invention in which an exclusive property or privilege is claimed are defined as follows:

- 1. A method of biodegrading halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons comprising incubating said hydrocarbons with microorganisms capable of degrading said hydrocarbons together with at least one nontoxic, nongaseous inducer that stimulates said biodegrading activity of said microorganisms.
- 2. A method according to Claim 1 wherein said incubating step occurs substantially in situ.
- 3. A method according to Claim 1 wherein said halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons are volatile chlorinated aliphatic (VCA) hydrocarbons.
- 4. A method according to Claim 3 wherein said VCA hydrocarbons are chlorinated ethylenes.
- 5. A method according to Claim 4 wherein said chlorinated ethylene is trichloroethylene (TCE).
- 6. A method according to Claim 1 wherein said inducer is an aromatic amino acid.
- 7. A method according to Claim 6 wherein said amino acid is tryptophan.
- 8. A method according to Claim 1 wherein said microorganisms are aromatic hydrocarbon degrading bacteria.
- 9. A method according to Claim 1 wherein said microorganisms are of the genus Pseudomonas.
- 10. A method according to Claim 9 wherein said microorganisms are Pseudomonas putida.
- 11. A method according to Claim 10 wherein said microorganisms are Pseudomonas putida F1.

- 12. A method according to Claim 1 wherein said microorganisms are strain G4.
- 13. A method according to Claim 1 wherein said microorganisms are Pseudomonas cepacia.
- 14. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said microorganisms are indigenous microorganisms.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. PCT/US89/05679

"Special categories of cited documents: 19 "A, 4, 452, 894 (GISEN) 05 June 1984 A,P US, A, 4, 452, 894 (GISEN) 05 June 1989 "Tryptophane, ""ethylene trichlord" "B DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT 9 US, A, 4, 452, 894 (GISEN) 05 June 1984 A,P US, A, 4, 4843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 "Total document defining the general state of the art which is not referring to an order designed by those doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the substacting data or another mass of particular reference: the claim of revention continued to the substacting data or another mass of particular reference: the claim of revention continued to establish the substacting data or another mass or a most offer the continued to the continued or the continued of the	I. CLASSIFICATION	ON OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several	classification symbols apply, indicate all) *	
U.S. C1. 435/262,264 II FIELDS SEARCHED Mirrorum Documentation Searched? Classification System Classification Symbols 435/262,264 210/610,611 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Estent Had such Documents are included in the Fields Searched? Chemical Abstract Chemical Substance 1th Collective Index Volume 96-105 (1982-1986) "Tryptophane," "ethylene trichlorin" In Document's Considered To BE RELEVANT? Alegory.* Cliation of Document, "with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages." Relevant to Claim No. 3 Y US, A, 4,452,894 (CLSEN) 05 June 1984 1-14 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 The document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another in special reason (its specified) controlled to contained the contained the processor of the relevant published and to the international filing date but on the claim of the priority of the command of the priority of the command of the priority of the command of the priority of the claimed invention of the reason of the reason of the reason with of the claimed invention of the reason o	According to Interna	rional Patent Classification (IPC) or to both	National Classification and IPC	
**Special categories of cited documents: 19 **US, A, 4,452,894 (OLSEN) 05 June 1984 **A, P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 **Jecument defining the general date of the an which is not comment which may three deciment which may three deciment which may three deciment which may three deciment will be somewhat is confident or other confidence of the confidence of th		- · ·		
Classification Symbols 435/262,264 210/610,611 Decumentation Searched other than Minimum Decumentation to the Extent that such Decuments are included in the Fields Searched * Chemical Abstract Chemical Substance 11th Collective Index- volume 96-105 (1982-1986) "Tryptophane," "ethylene trichlorn" II. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANY * Alagory * Citation of Document, " with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ** Relevant to Claim No. ** Y US, A, 4,452,894 (OLSEN) 05 June 1984 1-14 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 -** decument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. ** Earlier document which may threw doubts on priority claim(s) or citation of other seculiars free qualities the guideland or action or other seculiars free guideland or action or other seculiars free guideland or action or other seculiars free guideland or action or other seculiars or other seculiars free guideland and seculiars free guideland or other seculiars free guideland or o				
U.S. 435/262,264 210/610,611 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Estant that such Documents are Included in the Falca Searched * Chemical Abstract Chemical Substance 11th Collective Index-volume 96-105 (1982-1986) "Tryptophane," "ethylene trichlord" III DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT * Alagory * Citation of Document, " with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages * Relevant to Claim No. * Y US, A, 4,452,894 (OLSEN) O5 June 1984 1-14 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 **Tiester document defining the general state of the ant which is not considered to be of particular relevance and the process of the considered to the organization of the considered to the considered to the organization of the considered to the organization of particular relevance. The claimed inventor or an organization of the relevant to the considered to the considered to inventor an inventor at the vicinity of the relevant published prior to the international filing date but the relevant published prior to the international filing date but the considered to time the organization of particular relevance. The claimed inventor or cannot be considered to inventor an inventor at the vicinity of the relevant published prior to the international filing date but the considered to inventor an inventor at the vicinity of the considered to inventor an inventor at the vicinity of the considered to inventor and the considered to in		Minimum Doc	umentation Searched 7	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Eatest that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched Chemical Abstract Chemical Substance 11th Collective Index- volume 96-105 (1982-1986) "Tryptophane," "ethylene exciplon" III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT 9 Alegory * Cidation of Document, "with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ** Relevant to Claim No. 19 Y US, A, 4,452,894 (CLSEN) 05 June 1984 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 1-5,8-14 ** Special categories of cided documents: "9 A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance for a considered to be of particular relevance for the special relevance of	Classification System			
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Eutent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched \$ Chemical Abstract Chemical Substance 11th Collective Index- volume 96-105 (1982-1986) "Tryptophane," "ethylene trichlorn" III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT \$ Alegory * Citation of Occument, "with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Relevant to Claim No. \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Y US, A, 4,452,894 (OLSEN) 05 June 1984 1-14 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 **A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. When the property of the and not in conflict with the application but intended to be considered to be of particular relevance. When the property of the property of the property of the confideration of the property of the comment which may throw doubts an apriority claim(s) or claims of the confideration of the property of the comment of particular relevance in the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to seal the relevance of the property of the comment of particular relevance in the claimed invention cannot be considered in the subscitation of the relevance of the property of the comment of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered in order or cannot be considered to the order of the property of document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to limit the application but invention cannot be considered to limit the application but invention cannot be considered to limit the application but invention cannot be considered to limit the property of the comment steps. "To be application of the property of				
* Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Titler document published after the international conflict with the special categories of cited on the conflict with the special categories of cited on categories of cited documents: 19 * Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Titler document opticities after the international filling date or special categories of cited on categories on categ	U.S.	210/610,611		
* Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Titler document published after the international conflict with the special categories of cited on the conflict with the special categories of cited on categories of cited documents: 19 * Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Special categories of cited documents: 19 * Titler document opticities after the international filling date or special categories of cited on categories on categ				
"Special categories of cited documents: 10 "Special categories of cited documents: 10 "A, P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 "Total and of particular relevance after the international filing date but the following being and or other special reason (as a specified) "Gocument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another means." "Gocument ubulished groot of the international filing date but the article or other special reason (as a specified) "To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, entitition or other special reason (as a specified) "To document published prior to the international filing date but the article or other special reason (as a specified) "To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, entitition or other means." "To later document or published after the international filing date of groot priority called to understand the procedure of particular reference: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stop when the other means." "To later document published after the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the published prior to the international filing date but the		to the Extent that such Docum	her than Minimum Documentation lents are Included in the Fields Searched	
"Special categories of cited documents: 19 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance to be considered to see finish the published nor after the international filing date but of the relevant published prior to the international filing date but the art which is and other means.				
* Special categories of cited documents: 10 * Special categories of cited documents: 10 * Special categories of cited documents: 10 * The special categories of cited documents: 10 * The special categories of cited documents: 10 * Special categories of cited documents: 10 * Special categories of cited documents: 10 * The special categories of cited do		tive Index- volume 96-10)5 (1982–1986) "Tryptopha	ne,""ethylene
* Special categories of cited documents: 19 ** Special categories of cited documents: 19 ** A, P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 Jume 1989 ** Special categories of cited documents: 19 ** A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance ** Categories of cited document but published on or after the international filing date or pinority claim(a) or which is cited to establish the published on date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) **O" document referring to an oral disclosurs, use, exhibition or other means **P* decument gublished prior to the international filing date but shown as the combination being obvious to a person shirted in the art.		ONSIDERED TO BE DELEVANT :		
Y US, A, 4,452,894 (OLSEN) 05 June 1984 1-14 A,P US, A, 4,843,009 (BOPP) 27 June 1989 1-5,8-14 "T" later document published after the International filing date but the secondary and priority claims use an invention and provided in previous an invention and provided in providing the special reason (as specified) "C" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another claim or other special reason (as specified) "C" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other reasons."			appropriate, of the relevant passages ©	Relevant to Claum No. 13
*Special categories of cited documents: 19 *A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance active document but published on or after the international filing date or which is cited to establish the publication date of another which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another relation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disciplorare, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disciplorare, use, exhibition or other means P" decument published prior to the international filing date but				10 6.5
* Special categories of cited documents: 19 *A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevances which is cited to astablish the publication and the southeast of the considered to the considered to the considered to the organization and the southeast of the considered to the principle or theory underlying the international filling date. *E" earlier document published and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the international filling date but additioned in the special reason (as specified). *A" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication document or particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document referring to an oral disclosura, use, estibilition or other means. *P" decument published after the international filling date but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered and the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to invention cannot be considered to invention cannot be considered to invention and the principle or theory underlying the organization or other special reason (as specified). **C" document of particular relevances: the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention and the considered to invention and the considered to invention and the considered to invention cannot be considered to invention and the considered to invention and the considered to invention cannot be considered to invention and the considered to inventi	Y US,	A, 4,452,894 (OLSEN)) 05 June 1984	1-14
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or pnenty date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) """ """ """ """ """ """ """	A,P US,	A, 4,843,009 (BOPP)	27 June 1989	1-5,8-14
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or pnenty date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) """ """ """ """ """ """ """				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but	İ			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but	1			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or pnenty date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) """ """ """ """ """ """ """	į			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or pnenty date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) """ """ """ """ """ """ """				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but	j			
A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance E" sarlier document but published on or after the international filing date L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but	1			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but	ŀ			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the gubbication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but	{			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or pnenty date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) """ """ """ """ """ """ """				
'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance E" sarlier document but published on or after the international filing date L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is crited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other mans P" document published prior to the international filing date but				,
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the guilbleahon date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosurs, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but	• Special categories of	of cited documents: 19	"T" late decompos sublished the	
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but	'A" document definin	o the ceneral state of the art which is not	or prienty date and not in conflict	with the application but
"C" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the gublication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but	E" earlier document		IUAGUDOU	-
which is cited to establish the gublication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but	"L" document which	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or	cannot be considered novel or c	annot be considered to
other means document published prior to the international filing date but	which is cited to citation or other s	establish the publication date of another pecial reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance	the claimed invention
P" document published prior to the international filing date but in the art.		g to an oral disclosurs, use, exhibition or	COCUMENT IS combined with one of	r more other such docu-
	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but in the art.			
CERTIFICATION				
te of the Actual Completion of the International Search Date of Malling of this International Search Report	te of the Actual Comp	eletion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Sear	ch Report
05 March 1990 1 6 APR 1990	05 March 100	90	1 6 APR 1990	İ
emational Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer			Signature of Authorized Officer	
1 - Went Muyen		-	1 lisonto	Mayen
ISA/US Sam Rosen / 7 Ha NIGHTEN			Sam Kosen /7	HO NIGHTEN